Do you know that Sinclair Broadcasting if forcing all of their many stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary, pre-empting other programming, days before the election? What an example of the dangerous result of media consolidation.

Such a politically motivated action without presentation of any opposition message demonstrates Sinclair's flouting of its legal obligation to serve the public interest in exchange for using the public airwaves free of charge. When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.